EV Detection and Forecasting Reza Dehghan – Solution Architect June 11, 2024



My Profile

- - Reza Dehghan Solution Architect
 - BS in Electrical engineering
 - MS in computer science
 - 22 years in industry
 - 12 Years with Eaton







Growth of EVs



The Growth of EVs





International Council on Clean Transportation



© 2024 Eaton. All rights reserved.

Fast Facts about EV Growth





Alliance for Automotive Innovation

- 58% growth in sales of EVs from Q1, Q2 & Q3 2022 to 2023
- Market share is almost to 12%
- Growth is significantly faster for EVs than Hybrids



EV Charging Stations

- Charging Stations and EV sales have a positive correlation
- Growth of EV sales and charging station installations show the huge strain the infrastructure our electrical system will experience in coming years.







EV Detection





The increased adoption of EVs is having a significant impact on the distribution grid, challenging utilities to better manage peak demand costs and address infrastructure upgrades. In some areas, mandates are pushing for up to 100% EV adoption by a certain year. Unfortunately for utilities, there are no permitting requirements for EV like there are for PV, so utilities are not notified when an EV, which can consume as much load as an entire home, are added to the grid. In addition, EV adoption tends to occur faster in specific neighborhoods, which translates into significant load increases.

The impact of EV to the utility are:

- Higher pick demand
- Device aging
- Voltage sag

Utilities need to better understand where EVs are located and when they are being charged to fully realize the benefits of increased consumption caused by the electrification of transportation.





We provide rankings that depend on amount of data that was available for the analytics as well as the confidence interval of the model itself. Currently we achieve confidence intervals up to 85% which depends on how many EV events were detected during that time interval and the pattern of the charging interval so the duration and the kW rating during the charging time duration.

Label	Description
Rank 1	Consumer Meter IDs with prediction confidence of 85% or more and have 6 Months or more EV activity
Rank 2	Consumer Meter IDs with prediction confidence 85% or more and have 4 to 5 Months of EV activity or
	Consumer Meter IDs with prediction confidence between 70% to 85% and have 6 Months or more EV activity
Rank 3	Consumer Meter IDs with prediction confidence between 70% to 85% and have 4 to 5 Months of EV activity or
	Consumer Meter IDs with prediction confidence more than 85% and have 1 to 3 Months of EV activity
Rank 4	Consumer Meter IDs with prediction confidence between 70% to 85% and have 1 to 3 Months of EV activity

Following table summarizes defination of predicted ranks for EV owners.



Table 1. Definition of Ranks

Detection of EV with different Confidence Levels





Visualization of Data can Help Detect EV Clusters



< Back to report RANK, LATTITUDE AND LONGITUDE rank Regatta Bay BIV Butcher Lr Pelican Point White Horse Farm Stockley Rd 00 lvville Acres 0 Ritter Ln 23 Deep Woods Rr Microsoft Bing © 2022 TomTom, © 2022 Microsoft Corporation, © OpenStreetMap

Displaying the EV on a map can help identify if there are clusters in the service territory that could cause network issues such as overloading.





Result from EV study is a report with information regarding the EV accounts detected in the territory with confidence ranking, geographical visualization and a csv file that can be important to other 3rd party products e.g. work-order management application

2.1 EV ranks

3,021 EV homes were identified in the network. This represents 3.5% of the total analyzed distribution network. To help prioritize the investigation of these EV homes, they were organized into 6 distinct ranks based on a confidence value and the duration data available. The confidence value is based on complex logic from two machine learning prediction models.

Rank	Confidence	% of reporting period with EV activity	# of EV homes	% of total EV homes	
1	>=85%	>=50%	475	15.7%	
2	70-85%	>=50%	308	10.2%	
3	>=85%	30-50%	213	7.1%	
4	70-85%	30-50%	383	12.7%	
5	>=85%	8-30%	423	14.0%	
6	70-85%	8-30%	1,217	40.3%	





Knowing where EVs charge and how they are distributed in the network has many benefits such as:

- 1. Improving DR program
- 2. Better asset management
- 3. Improving system planning
- 4. Customer engaging



EV Forecasting





- Predicting the future EV penetration is not easy
- Socioeconomic data
- Stochastic algorithms



What is CYME and how does it help with reducing the impact of EVs on the electrical network?



CYME – Advanced Distribution Planning Software





Best-in-Class System Analysis Tools and Services From Transmission to Distribution to Industrial



Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis Module



- Add-on modules will add additional capabilities, analyses and modeling functions.
- Behind-the-Meter, or BTM, is an add-on module to CYMDIST that allows the user to assess network states
- BTM uses the Monte Carlo calculation method to analyze the selected network(s)
- Monte Carlo method is a

"...mathematical technique that is used to estimate the possible outcomes of an uncertain event." (<u>IBM</u>)

- Because EVs are not required to be reported by homeowners, most utilities do not know which customers have EVs they charge at home and which they do not.
- People also change where they charge their EV because of work, weekend plans, etc.
- Where and when EVs are charging is considered an uncertain event.



CYME Modules



Modeling	 Enhanced Substation Modeling LV Secondary Distribution Modeling Secondary Grid Network Modeling Geographic Overlay Online Maps Service 	 Reliability Assessment Harmonic Analysis Dynamic Motor Starting Analysis 	Power Quality
Planning	 Advanced Project Manager Automated Network Forecast Analysis Techno-Economic Analysis 	 Network Configuration Analysis Volt/VAR Optimization Optimal Voltage Regulator Placement Optimal Recloser Placement 	Optimization
Operation	 Distribution State Estimator Contingency Assessment and Restoration Load Flow Contingency (N-p) Advanced Fault Locator 	 Steady-State Analysis with Load Profiles Long-Term Dynamics Load Flow Analysis Transient Stability Analysis 	Time-Series
Protection	Protective Device AnalysisArc Flash Hazards AnalysisDistance Protection Analysis	 Dynamic Data Pull CIM Import / Export MultiSpeak® Import Data Push Publishing 	Integration
DER	 Integration Capacity Analysis EPRI DRIVE™ Module DER Impact Evaluation Network Disturbance Assessment 	Scripting Tool with Python®	Scripting



What to expect?





Powering Business Worldwide

Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis - Network



또한 CYME 9.4 r1 - CYMDIST - BTMsce	nario.sxst (Self Contained)		– 🗗 🗡
File Edit Database Equipment	Network Analysis Repor	t View Cuttomize Window Help	
🎦 🗰 🕫 🔂 🛃 🔊	<u>- ~ - 🗟 🖄 [🕄 💷 🛛</u>	🚽 🐨 👷 🖓 🖓 🕼 🕼 🕼 😨 🖤 🏙 🛞 🛞 👷 🚺 Advanced Fault Locator 🛛 🐨 🔗 👷 🛄 🕼 😨 🖤 🏙 🛞 🛞 👘 🖕 📓 Search 👘 🛃 🖓	DEFAULT (Load Model) 🔹 🖼 😰 👷 S 🔤 🗮 💂
Network color (random)	• 📰 👷 Default Tags		
	× ⊕ 표 <mark>№</mark> ⊼ -		
Find Network protectors that will f			
		2 Man × 2 = t5 × 0 + ×	
Type	ID /		Vetwork Inventory
✓ General		A state	✓ Networks
Network	Feeder ~	The second	TT: Select Networks
Nested View	Geographically Refer 🗸 🗸		> 11 Feeder (1)
Horizontal Bus		1 Stations	✓ Topology
Vertical Bus		A The Second sec	> 🔜 Isolated Section (5)
Node		$ \downarrow \downarrow$	> Node (4874)
Microgrid Reference Node		I A ANA SINA SA ANA	Filade Hei ging (1) Section (6061)
✓ Lines and Cables			> Source Node (1)
Cable	DEFAULT ~		✓ Devices
Overhead Line	DEFAULT V		Breaker (1)
Overhead Line Unbalanced	DEFAULT V		> Cable (1177)
Overbead By Phase		The state of the s	Overhead Line (160) Overhead Line (160)
)/	DEENINT		Regulator (4)
小 bueway	DEFAULT	A Shart the standing	> Series Reactor (1)
UU Double-Circuit			> Shunt Capactor (4)
Double-Circuit (Looped)		The second secon	> Spot Load (1177)
Duct Bank	2 cables 🛛 🗸		Two-Winding Transformer (1178)
ooo Buried Installation	2 cables 🛛 🗸	V	✓ Global Filters
← Sources and Generators		Very Viller	The select Filters
Electronically Coupled Generator	DEFAULT ~		₩ Meter
Source	DEFAULT ~		Law a
(16) Induction Generator	DEFAULT ~		vevorites
G Synchronous Generator	DEFAULT ~		
wecs	DEFAULT ~		
H Photovoltaic System			Equipment Inventory
A Mirro-Turbina		S mi	Capacitors and Reactors
🚰 Prop 📴 Tool 📜 Display 🚾	Back 💼 Charts 🔣 Profil	<	A. A



Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis - Parameters



🕫 Behind-the-meter Scena	ario Analy	sis							?	\times
Configuration										
DEFAULT					\sim	÷	<u> </u>	4) I 🕹	
Parameters Networks Ter	chnology	Monitoring	Output							
Time										
Analysis Type:		Tim	e Range						~	1
Analysis Mode:		Ent	re Day						~	/
Date:		12/	3/2023							
Interval:		60		minutes						
Calculation										
Calculation Method:		Mor	ite Carlo	(standard)					~	/
Number of simulations:		10								
Specific Seed:		444	ł							
Load Flow With Profiles										
Parameters:		DEF	ULT					~	9	à

- BTM uses CYME's Steady State Analysis with Load Profiles (LFwP) to use AMI data in running an analysis of a full day.
- 60 minute intervals over 24 hours is 24 analyses run for each of the 10 simulations.



Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis - Parameters



ngarataan							
FAULT			~	🕂 🗹 📼 l 🔓	₿ (
ameters Networks Technology Mo	nitoring O	utput					
Technology Type:	Electri	: Vehicles			~		
Penetration Level Unit:	Percer	tage of all locations			~		
Penetration :	50.0	%					
		Mode		Keyword			
Presence		No Technology Present	~				
Eligibility		All Location Eligible	\sim	Eligibility			
Propensity		Uniform Propensity	\sim	 Propension 			
Type		Charaing Power		Share Percentac	je		
Type Type 1		Charging Power	kW	Share Percentag	je %		
Туре Туре1 Туре2		Charging Power 7.2 3.6	kW kW	Share Percentag 25.0 50.0	je %		
Туре Туре 1 Туре 2 Туре 3		Charging Power 7.2 3.6 9.6	kW kW kW	Share Percentag 25.0 50.0 25.0	je % %		
Type Type1 Type2 Type3 Click to add a new row		Charging Power 7.2 3.6 9.6	kW kW kW	Share Percentag 25.0 50.0 25.0	ye % %		
Type 1 Type 1 Type 2 Type 3 Click to add a new row		Charging Power 7.2 3.6 9.6	kW kW kW	Share Percentag 25.0 50.0 25.0	je % %		
Type Type1 Type2 Type3 Click to add a new row	WINTE	Charging Power 7.2 3.6 9.6 R WEEKDAY	kW kW kW	Share Percentag 25.0 50.0 25.0	ye % % %		





Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis - Parameters



🖞 Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis	? ×
Configuration	
DEFAULT	🗸 💠 🗹 — I 🔈 🕞 i 🧃
Parameters Networks Technology Monitoring Output	Keywords for: KWA KWB KWC KWTOT KVARA KVARA KVARB KVARC KVARD KVARB KVARC KVARD KVAR KVAR KVAR KVAB KVAC KVAB KVAC KVAB KVAC KVAB KVAC KVAB KVAR KVA
Jave Save	Run V OK Cancel

nfiguratio	on										
EFAULT						\sim	÷	-	🛃	3	1
rameters	Networks	Technology	Monitoring	Outpu	t						
Reports											
🗹 Se	lect										
	Reports								ĺ.] ₹	^
	BTM Scenario	o Analysis - Ov	er-Voltage Cu	s Summary							
	BTM Scenario	o Analysis - Ov	er-Voltage No	des Su	mmary						
	BTM Scenario	o Analysis - Ov	erload Summa	ary							
	BTM Scenario	o Analysis - Un	der-Voltage C	ustome	ers Summary						
	BTM Scenario	o Analysis - Un	der-Voltage N	odes S	ummary						-
v	миитентер	01 (2									×
One-Line	e Diagram - D	isplay Options									
Color	Coding				Load transfer color						
Color	Мар				No Color Map						
Taos	and Text				User Text Level 2						
Toolt	ips			П	Voltage Drop						
Output -											
Resu	Its Output Me	ode		Disp	ay results on screen						\sim



Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis - Results





- This is a load density color map that shows the locations with the greatest load density that could cause strains on the electrical network.
- The more yellow and even slight red shows the densest locations.



Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis - Results







Behind-the-meter Scenario Analysis - Results

Reports											▲ 廿 ×
	earch	- Q 🛛	A								
	Device Number	Device Type	Phase	Sample with abnormal conditions (%)	Minimum overload duration (minutes)	Maximum overload duration (minutes)	Average overload duration (minutes)	Minimum overload (%)	Maximum overload (%)	Average overload (%)	^
1	T5302809C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	80.0 %	15	135	51.0	105.31523	177.36658		125.31149
2	LN5561442-2	Overhead Line Unbalanced	Α	10.0 %	15	15	15.0	100.06482	100.06482		100.06482
3	LN5561442-2	Overhead Line Unbalanced	в	50.0 %	15	90	40.0	100.75131	109.08577		103.65746
4	T225571871B	Two-Winding Transformer	в	30.0 %	15	105	35.0	100.68532	127.53734		109.68272
5	T5356791C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	10.0 %	15	15	15.0	112.01455	112.01455		112.01455
6	T5294786C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	70.0 %	15	135	54.375	105.31639	169.34608		117.6481
7	T2224387019A	Two-Winding Transformer	Α	30.0 %	15	75	37.5	103.31641	112.91943		107.74862
8	LN5513564-1	Overhead Line Unbalanced	Α	100.0 %	30	1440	625.22727	100.5009	139.50042		119.56211
9	LN5513564-1	Overhead Line Unbalanced	В	100.0 %	15	1125	270.35714	100.17914	144.24841		111.92486
10	LN5513564-1	Overhead Line Unbalanced	С	100.0 %	15	465	277.5	100.19309	126.03111		114.45935
11	T5138412C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	20.0 %	75	90	82.5	105.31482	105.31553		105.31517
12	T5293655B	Two-Winding Transformer	В	40.0 %	15	60	30.0	106.82686	116.4363		111.63592
13	T5260573C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	40.0 %	15	90	39.375	105.31494	113.31063		108.31325
14	T28150189A	Two-Winding Transformer	Α	30.0 %	15	75	32.5	102.02309	144.32394		119.58016
15	LN5860423-4	Overhead Line Unbalanced	Α	100.0 %	15	690	145.29412	100.13332	125.83133		108.32201
16	LN5860423-4	Overhead Line Unbalanced	в	100.0 %	15	285	134.16667	100.16948	125.1499		108.63033
17	T5138371C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	30.0 %	15	165	80.0	105.3153	153.31456		121.31493
18	T5339019A	Two-Winding Transformer	Α	70.0 %	15	105	42.0	100.01295	148.26259		113.80592
19	T226193170A	Two-Winding Transformer	Α	40.0 %	15	45	22.5	100.10865	112.96812		107.59919
20	T225918936C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	50.0 %	15	90	48.75	105.31898	129.31049		109.3211
21	T28120786A	Two-Winding Transformer	Α	10.0 %	15	30	22.5	112.96976	119.16794		116.06885
22	T5356063C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	50.0 %	15	75	45.0	105.31544	201.44714		129.64279
23	T5302733B	Two-Winding Transformer	В	10.0 %	30	30	30.0	100.61479	100.61479		100.61479
24	T226195333C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	50.0 %	15	210	88.63636	113.27115	185.25929		128.5314
25	T5337672B	Two-Winding Transformer	в	80.0 %	15	330	78.125	101.13972	211.24587		123.08196
26	T21393486C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	80.0 %	15	105	49.41176	105.31673	161.34398		118.9678
27	T5138755B	Two-Winding Transformer	в	90.0 %	15	135	53.14286	111.44531	219.62278		131.56501
28	T5355591B	Two-Winding Transformer	В	10.0 %	15	15	15.0	116.44742	116.44742		116.44742
29	T5338995B	Two-Winding Transformer	В	90.0 %	15	345	74.14286	103.12011	207.58332		130.1843
30	T5138264B	Two-Winding Transformer	В	30.0 %	30	60	50.0	106.88495	126.20964		113.33629
31	T5338972B	Two-Winding Transformer	В	30.0 %	15	60	35.0	106.83618	116.49109		110.69571
32	T5337713C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	40.0 %	15	90	36.42857	105.31507	113.30249		106.45745
33	T5293422C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	20.0 %	30	120	60.0	105.31506	153.31372		123.97717
34	LN6380817-1	Overhead Line Unbalanced	A	100.0 %	15	1230	362.91667	100.30147	138.41435		114.11678
35	LN6380817-1	Overhead Line Unbalanced	в	100.0 %	15	435	231.17647	100.17581	138.07702		116.31962
36	T5251857C	Two-Winding Transformer	С	90.0 %	15	195	51.75	105.31678	233.60735		124.52155
37	T5293521B	Two-Winding Transformer	в	100.0 %	15	240	74.02174	100.76157	400.63498		155.43984
38	T21396959A	Two-Winding Transformer	A	10.0 %	90	90	90.0	141.90704	141.90704		141.90704 🗸
-	BTM Scenario Overload	ing Summary X BTM Scer	nario Under \	Voltage Nodes Summary L Breaker - HVMV	/ SUB CONNECTOR L BTM Scenario Ov	er Voltage Customers Summary 📘 Bl	M Scenario Over Voltage Nodes Summa	ry L BTM Scenario Under V	pitage Customers Summary		4 Þ









